arXiv:hep-th/9706093v2 29 Jul 1997

HUB-EP-97/36
`hep-th/9706093`

Three-Branes and Five-Branes in $N = 1$ Dual String Pairs

Björn Andreas and Gottfried Curio[1]

Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Institut für Physik, D-10115 Berlin, Germany

In this note we show that in dual $N = 1$ string vacua provided by the heterotic string on an elliptic Calabi-Yau together with a vector bundle respectively F-theory on Calabi-Yau fourfold the number of heterotic fivebranes necessary for anomaly cancellation matches the number of F-theory three-branes necessary for tadpole cancellation. This extends to the general case the work of Friedman, Morgan and Witten, who treated the case of embedding a heterotic $E_8 \times E_8$ bundle, leaving no unbroken gauge group, where one has a smooth Weierstrass model on the F-theory side.

---

[1] email: andreas@qft3.physik.hu-berlin.de, curio@qft2.physik.hu-berlin.de

In the course of study of F-theory compactifications with $N = 1$ supersymmetry in four dimensions on a Calabi-Yau fourfold X there was established the necessity of turning on a number $n_3 = \chi(X)/24$ of spacetime-filling threebranes for tadpole cancellation [3]. This should be compared with a potentially dual heterotic compactification on an elliptic Calabi-Yau Z with vector bundle V embedded in $E_8 \times E_8$ got by adiabtic extension over a common (complex) twofold base B of the eight-dimensional duality of F-theory on $K3$ with the heterotic string on T^2 [1]. There the threebranes should correspond to a number n_5 of fivebranes wrapping the elliptic fibre. Their necessity for a consistent heterotic compactification (independent of any duality considerations) was established in the exhaustive study done by Friedman, Morgan and Witten on vector budles and F-theory [2]. There it was also shown that in the case of an $E_8 \times E_8$ vector bundle V, leaving no unbroken gauge group and corresponding to a smooth Weierstrass model for the fourfold, it is possible to express n_3 and n_5 in comparable and indeed matching data on the common base B. This matching will here be extended to the general case.

To achieve this we will adopt a somewhat different technical procedure. In the case of not having a smooth Weierstrass model there occured already in [3] the difficulty to reduce the fourfold expression $\chi(X)/24$ for n_3 to an expression involving only suitable data of the base B^3 of the elliptic F-theory fibration of X (not to be confused with the twofold base B^2 of the $K3$ fibration of X, here denoted simply by B, which is visible also on the heterotic side), which was [2] only an intermediate step to reduce the expression to one involving only twofold base data. For this reason we express here $\chi(X)$ directly in the Hodge numbers of X and match then these with the data of the dual heterotic model; here essential use is made of an index-formula computation, also initiated in [2], to describe the moduli of the bundle V.[2]

In the course of the actual computation we will assume that V is a $SU(n_1) \times SU(n_2)$ bundle. Furthermore we will implement the adiabatically extended duality by the following specification [2]: as X is assumed to be a $K3$ fibration over B it follows that B^3, the threefold base of the F-theory elliptic fibration, is a P^1 fibration over B; this fibration structure is described by assuming the P^1 bundle over B to be a projectivization of a vector bundle $Y = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{T}$, with $\mathcal{T}$ a line bundle over B; then the cohomology class $t = c_1(\mathcal{T})$ encodes the P^1 fibration structure. Now the duality is implemented by choosing our $SU(n_1) \times SU(n_2)$ bundle so that

$$\eta_1 = \pi_*(c_2(V_1)) = 6c_1(B) + t, \quad \eta_2 = \pi_*(c_2(V_2)) = 6c_1(B) - t$$

Now let us start and first compute the number of heterotic five-branes n_5 using the non-perturbative anomaly cancellation condition which is given by [2]

$$c_2(V_1) + c_2(V_2) + [W] = c_2(TZ),$$

where TZ is tangent bundle of the Calabi-Yau threefold Z on which the heterotic string is compactified; $c_2(TZ)$ was derived in [2] (we use the notation $c_i = c_i(B)$ and σ for the class of a section of π)

---

[2] Note that in case some of the threebranes have dissolved into finite-sized instantons in the world-volume gauge theory of the F-theory sevenbrane this is accompanied by a corresponding fivebrane transition on the heterotic side [8, 2, 4].

$$c_2(TZ) = c_2 + 11c_1^2 + 12\sigma c_1$$

(here on the right hand side the classes represent their pullbacks to Z). Furthermore $[W]$ is the cohomology class of the five-branes where $[W] = n_5[F] = \pi^*([p])$ and $[F]$ is the class in H^4 of the fiber of the elliptic fibration.

For explicit evaluation and to establish some notation we give here the actual number of fivebranes for V a $SU(n_1) \times SU(n_2)$ bundle. The second Chern class for a $SU(n)$ bundle is given by (with $\eta = \pi_*(c_2(V))$ and $\mathcal{L}$ being some line bundle over B) [2]

$$c_2(V) = \eta\sigma - \frac{1}{24}c_1(\mathcal{L})^2(n^3 - n) - \frac{n}{8}\eta(\eta - nc_1(\mathcal{L}))$$

Using the above relations for η_1, η_2 and $c_2(V)$ we can derive (where also $c_1(B) = c_1(\mathcal{L})$ by the Calabi-Yau condition for Z)

$$c_2(V_{1/2}) = 6c_1\sigma \pm t\sigma - \frac{1}{24}c_1^2(n_1^3 - n_1) - \frac{n_1}{8}[36c_1^2 \pm 12c_1\, t + t^2 - 6n_1c_1^2 \mp n_1\, t\, c_1]$$

We find for the number of five branes

$$\begin{aligned} n_5 &= c_2 + 11c_1^2 + \frac{1}{24}c_1^2(n_1^3 - n_1 + n_2^3 - n_2) + \frac{(n_1+n_2)}{4}(18c_1^2 + \frac{t^2}{2}) + \\ &\quad + \frac{(n_1-n_2)}{4}6c_1\, t - \frac{(n_1^2+n_2^2)}{8}6c_1^2 + \frac{(n_2^2-n_1^2)}{8}\, t\, c_1 \end{aligned}$$

Now let us express the number of F-theory 3-branes $n_3 = \chi(X)/24$ in terms of heterotic data. Because of $\chi(X)/6 - 8 = h^{1,1}(X) + h^{3,1}(X) - h^{2,1}(X)$ (cf. [3]) we have to use the following informations [3]

$$\begin{aligned} h^{1,1}(X) &= h^{1,1}(Z) + 1 + r = 12 - c_1^2 + r \\ h^{2,1}(X) &= n_o \\ h^{3,1}(X) &= h^{2,1}(Z) + I + n_o + 1 = 12 + 29c_1^2 + I + h^{2,1}(X) \end{aligned}$$

where in the first line we used Noethers formula $1 = \frac{c_1^2(B)+c_2(B)}{12}$ and $\chi(Z) = -60\int_B c_1^2(B)$ [5]; for the n_o in the second line see below. Let us now see how the expression for $h^{3,1}(X)$ emerges. Note that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ for bundles on Z of dimension m_{bun} has a fibration $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ which corresponds on the F-theory side to a fibration of the abelian variety $H^3(X, \mathbf{R})/H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$ of complex dimension $h^{2,1}(X)$ over a part of the space of complex deformations of X (cf. [2]); the remaining complex deformations account for the complex deformations of the heterotic Calabi-Yau (+1), i.e.

---

[3] We assume that the elliptic fibration $Z \rightarrow B$ has only one section so that $h^{1,1}(Z) = h^{1,1}(B) + 1 = c_2(B) - 1 = 11 - c_1^2(B)$; let us furthermore assume that no fourflux [6] is turned on; r denotes the rank of the unbroken non-abelian gauge group (do not confuse it with the rank of the group of the bundle V); we furthermore assume that we have no further $U(1)$ factors (coming from sections).

$$h^{3,1}(X) + h^{2,1}(X) = h^{2,1}(Z) + m_{bun} + 1$$

Now concerning the contribution of the bundle moduli let us recall the setup of the index-computation in [2]. As the usual quantity suitable for index-computation $\sum_{i=o}^{3}(-1)^i h^i(Z, ad(V))$ vanishes by Serre duality one has to introduce a further twist and to compute a character-valued index. Now because of the elliptic fibration structure one has on Z the involution τ coming from the "sign-flip" in the fibers which we furthermore assume has been lifted to an action on the bundle. The character-valued index

$$I = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=o}^{3}(-1)^i Tr_{H^i(Z,ad(V))}\tau$$

simplifies by the vanishing of the ordinary index to

$$I = -\sum_{i=o}^{3}(-1)^i h^i(Z, ad(V))_e$$

where the subscript "e" (resp. "o") indicates the even (resp. odd) part. As we have an unbroken gauge group H, which is the commutator of the group G of V, one finds $I = n_e - n_o$ corrected by $h^0_e - h^0_o$ denoting by $n_{e/o}$ the number $h^1(Z, ad(V))_{e/o}$ of massless even/odd chiral superfields and by $h^0_{e/o}$ the number of unbroken gauge group generators even/odd under τ. The unbroken gauge group is in this n_3- calculation accounted for by the rank contribution in $h^{1,1}(X)$ for the resolved fourfold.

So one has for the number of bundle moduli $m_{bun} = h^1(Z, ad(V)) = n_e + n_o = I + 2n_o$ and so gets the announced expression for $h^{3,1}(X)$. Furthermore on the F-theory side the modes odd under the involution τ' corresponding to the heterotic involution τ correspond to the $h^{2,1}(X)$ classes [2] (we assume no four-flux was turned on).

Now let us go to the index-formula for a $SU(n_1) \times SU(n_2)$ bundle. The index for $SU(n)$ is given by [2]

$$I = n - 1 - \int_{U_1} c_2(V) - \int_{U_2} c_2(V).$$

Recall the U_i are the components of the fixed point set of the involution τ where U_1 is the class of the section σ of $Z \rightarrow B$ and U_2 is a triple cover of B which has cohomology class $3\sigma + 3c_1(\mathcal{L})$. Let us go on and express this purely in cohomology of B. This can be done in two ways. First one can use the identity $\int_{U_i} c_2(V) = \int_B c_2(V)\mid_{U_1} + 3\int_B c_2(V)\mid_{U_2}$ where the 3 appears since U_2 is a triple cover of B and restricts the non-perturbative anomaly condition to the fixed point set (cf. [7]). The restricted second Chern-classes of

$TZ\mid_{U_i}$ were direved in [7] as $c_2(TZ\mid_{U_1}) = c_2 - c_1^2$ and $c_2(TZ\mid_{U_2}) = c_2 + 11c_1^2$. Thus for the index we find (denoting $rk = n_1 + n_2 - 2 = 16 - r$))

$$I = rk - (c_2 - c_1^2 - n_5 + 3c_2 + 33c_1^2 - 3n_5) = rk - (48 + 28c_1^2) + 4n_5$$

Alternatively one can use formula for the restriction of $c_2(V)$ to the fixed point set given in [2]

$$\begin{aligned} I &= n - 1 + \int_B \left(\frac{(n^3-n)c_1(\mathcal{L})^2}{6} + \frac{n\eta(\eta - nc_1(\mathcal{L}))}{2} + \eta c_1(\mathcal{L}) \right) \\ &= n - 1 - 4(c_2 - \eta\sigma) + \eta c_1 \end{aligned}$$

giving again for the total index $I = I_1 + I_2$ the result

$$\begin{aligned} I &= rk - 4(c_2(V_1) + c_2(V_2)) + 48c_1\sigma + 12c_1^2 \\ &= rk - 4(c_2 + 11c_1^2 + 12\sigma c_1) + 4n_5 + 48c_1\sigma + 12c_1^2 \\ &= rk - (48 + 28c_1^2) + 4n_5 \end{aligned}$$

One finally gets then with $r = 16 - rk$ and our expression for I that

$$\chi(X)/24 = 2 + \frac{1}{4}(12 - c_1^2 + 16 - rk + 12 + 29c_1^2 + I) = n_5$$

matching the heterotic value.

References

1. C. Vafa, *Evidence for F-theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B 469** (1996) 493, hep-th/9602022.
2. R. Friedman, J. Morgan and E. Witten, *Vector Bundles and F-Theory*, hep-th/9701162.
3. S. Sethi, C. Vafa and E. Witten, *Constraints on Low-Dimensional String Compactifications*, Nucl. Phys. **B 480** (1996) 213, hep-th/9606122.
4. E. Sharpe, *Extremal Transitions in Heterotic String Theory*, hep-th/9705210.
5. A. Klemm, B. Lian, S.-S. Roan and S.-T. Yau, *Calabi-Yau fourfolds for M- and F-Theory Compactifications*, hep-th/9701023.
6. E. Witten, *On Flux Quantization in M-Theory and the Effective Action*, hep-th/9609122.

7. B. Andreas, G. Curio and D. Lüst, $N = 1$ *Dual String Pairs and their Massless Spectra*, hep-th/9705174.
8. M. Bershadsky, A. Johansen, T. Pantev and V. Sadov, *On Four-Dimensional Compactifications of F-Theory*, hep-th/9701165.